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Let $f$ be a real polynomial having no zeros in the open unit disk. We prove a sharp evaluation from above for the quantity $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} /\|f\|_{p}, 0 \leqslant p<\infty$. The extremal polynomials and the exact constants are given. This extends an inequality of Paul Erdős [7]. © 2000 Academic Press
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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

For any continuous function $f:[-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $p \in(0, \infty)$ let

$$
\|f\|_{p}:=\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

besides, let

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}:=\max _{-1 \leqslant x \leqslant 1}|f(x)| .
$$

It is known that $\|f\|_{p}$ tends to the limit

$$
\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \log |f(x)| d x\right)
$$

when $p \rightarrow 0$. This is exactly the value given to the functional $\|f\|_{p}$ when $p=0$.

Let $f$ be a real polynomial. If $f$ has no zeros in the open unit disk then $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$ can be best possible estimated from above by $\|f\|_{p}, 0 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. To the best of our knowledge the first result of this type for polynomials with restricted zeros was obtained by Erdős [7] in the case $p=\infty$.

[^0]Theorem A. Let $f$ be a real polynomial of degree at most $n$ such that $\|f\|_{\infty}=1$. If the zeros of $f$ are all real and lie on $\mathbf{R} \backslash(-1,1)$ then

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-n+1}\right] n .
$$

Equality is possible only at $-1,+1$ and it holds only for

$$
f_{e x,, 1}(x):=\frac{n^{n}}{2^{n}(n-1)^{n-1}}(1+x)(1-x)^{n-1}
$$

and

$$
f_{e x ., 2}(x):=\frac{n^{n}}{2^{n}(n-1)^{n-1}}(1+x)^{n-1}(1-x) .
$$

It can be seen that the exact asymptotic of $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} /\|f\|_{\infty}$ with respect to the polynomial degree is $n$. This is smaller than $n^{2}$, which is the exact asymptotic in the corresponding inequality for polynomials without restrictions on the zeros, see [8].

Without any restriction we can assume that each polynomial, belonging to the class introduced in Theorem A, is positive on $(-1,1)$. By using a wider class of polynomials (see Remark 8)

$$
\pi_{n}:=\left\{f: f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k}(1+x)^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}, A_{k} \geqslant 0, k=0,1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

introduced by Bernstein (see $[3,4]$ ) an extension of Theorem A is due to Sheick [12].

Theorem B. If $f \in \pi_{n}$, then

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \frac{e}{2} n\|f\|_{\infty} .
$$

On the basis of a sharp point-wise bound for $\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| /\|f\|_{\infty}$ at an arbitrarily prescribed point $x$ on the unit interval the next theorem is given in [1].

Theorem C. If $f$ is a real polynomial of degree at most $n$ such that $\|f\|_{\infty}=1$ and $f(z) \neq 0,|z|<1$, then

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-n+1}\right] n
$$

with a case of equality only for $f_{\text {ex, } 1}$ and $f_{\text {ex, } 2}$.

Another contribution to this subject can be seen in [2], where the following theorem has been proved.

Theorem D. If fis a real polynomial of degree at most $n$ having at most $k$ zeros in the open unit disk, then there exists an absolute constant $c$, such that

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant c n(k+1)\|f\|_{\infty} .
$$

Note, that the estimate is sharp up to the best possible constant. A short proof of the above theorem based on D. Newman inequality [10] for Münz polynomials and Meissner's representation (see Remark 8 of this paper) can be seen in [6]. The best constants case is known only in the cases $k=0,[1,7,12]$ and $k=n$, [8].

Let $\quad q_{n, k}(x)=(1+x)^{k}(1-x)^{n-k} \quad$ and $\quad q_{n, k, *}(x)=\left(n^{n} q_{n, k}(x)\right) /\left(2^{n} k^{k}\right.$ $\left.(n-k)^{n-k}\right)$. Note that $\left\|q_{n, k, *}\right\|_{\infty}=1$. The next Erdős-Nikolskii type inequality between different metrics is proved in [5].

Theorem E. Let $f$ be a polynomial of degree at most $n$ with real coefficients and having no zeros in the open unit disk. Suppose, in addition, that $f$ has zeros of multiplicity at least $\mu$ at -1 and 1 , where $0 \leqslant \mu \leqslant[n / 2]$. If $f$ is not a constant multiple by $q_{n, \mu}$ or $q_{n, n-\mu}$, then

$$
\|f\|_{p}>\left\|q_{n, \mu, *}\right\|_{p}\|f\|_{\infty}, \quad 0 \leqslant p<\infty .
$$

As a corollary of Theorem C and Theorem E the best possible estimate of $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} /\|f\|_{p}$ from above is given in [5] but under the additional boundary assumption

$$
f(-1)=f(1)=0 .
$$

Corollary A. Let $f$ be a real polynomial of degree at most n, such that $f(-1)=f(1)=0$ and $f(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|<1$. If $f$ is not a constant multiple by $q_{n, 1}$ or $q_{n, n-1}$ then

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\frac{\left\|q_{n, 1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|q_{n, 1}\right\|_{p}}\|f\|_{p}, \quad 0 \leqslant p<\infty .
$$

Now a natural question arises, stated by Professor Q. I. Rahman in a joint discussion with the first named author: If it is possible that the boundary conditions $f(-1)=f(1)=0$ can be removed from the statement of Corollary A. It is logical to believe, following [7], that these boundary conditions are superfluous in the statement of Corollary A at least for $p>1$.

We will answer the above mentioned question by giving the exact solution of the extremal problem, given in Corollary A, without the boundary condition $f(-1)=f(1)=0$. Our method is based on a technical refinement of a variational approach, given in [5].

Let $\mathscr{P}_{n}$ denote the class of all real polynomials of degree at most $n$ having no zeros in the open unit disk, i.e. $f(z) \neq 0,|z|<1$. Then our extremal problem reads as follows. Find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}, \quad 0 \leqslant p<\infty . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. Without any restriction in the process of our considerations we can assume that $\mathscr{P}_{n}$ consists of polynomials which are positive on $(-1,1)$.

Remark 2. We will show that the boundary conditions $f(-1)=f(1)$ $=0$ in Corollary A are superfluous when $p>1$ (see Theorem 1). This means that the extremal polynomials of (1) has to satisfy $f(-1)=f(1)=0$ in the case $p>1$. If $0 \leqslant p<1$ and we do not put the boundary condition $f(-1)=f(1)=0$, (see Theorem 1) then the extremal polynomials of (1) will differ from the extremal polynomials of the corresponding to (1) problem with the boundary conditions $f(-1)=f(1)=0$ (this case is settled in Corollary A).

Remark 3. In the process of solving the problem (1) we will see that in the case $0 \leqslant p \leqslant 1$ new effects appears. Namely, the extremal polynomials of problem (1) do not satisfy the boundary condition $f(-1)=f(1)=0$, when $0 \leqslant p<1$. In the case $p=1$ we will see that the problem (1) has two classes of extremal polynomials. One of them satisfies $f(-1)=f(1)=0$ whereas the other does not. In the case $p>1$ the extremal polynomials of (1) must satisfy the condition $f(-1)=f(1)=0$ and this means that the condition $f(1)=f(-1)=0$ is superfluous in the statement of Corollary A for $p>1$.

Problem. For a fixed $p, 0 \leqslant p<\infty$, find the exact value and the extremal polynomials of (1).

## 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

The solution of the problem (1) is based on a technical refinement of a variational approach that is described in [5] and will be presented as a sequence of lemmas.

Lemma 1. The problem (1) possesses an extremal polynomial. In other words

$$
\sup _{f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}=\max _{f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}
$$

Proof. From Theorem A and Theorem C we have

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-n+1} n\|f\|_{\infty} \leqslant C(n, p)\|f\|_{p}
$$

so

$$
\sup _{f \in \mathscr{F}_{n}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}} \leqslant C(n, p)<+\infty .
$$

Let $f_{k}$ be a sequence of polynomials from $\mathscr{P}_{n}$ such that

$$
\frac{\left\|f_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{p}} \geqslant \sup _{f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}-\frac{1}{k} .
$$

Consider polynomials $g_{k}:=f_{k} /\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{p}$. It is evident that $\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{p}=1$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$.

From the above-mentioned papers it follows that $\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant C(n, p)$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$. We choose from the sequence $\left\{g_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ a locally uniformly convergent subsequence and denote it by $\left\{g_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

The locally uniform limit $g$ of $\left\{g_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ must be a polynomial of degree at most $n$. By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem it follows that $\|g\|_{p}=1$ and from here the limit function $g$ is not identically zero. Hurwitz's theorem shows that $g \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$. In particular $g(x) \neq 0$ on $-1<x<1$.

The degree of our polynomials $g_{k}(x)$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$ is fixed and the locally uniform convergence is an invariant property with respect to differentiation. This means that $g_{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow g^{\prime}$ locally uniformly, when $k \rightarrow \infty$.

From the above considerations it is easily seen that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{p}=\|g\|_{p}, \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|g_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

Hence we have
$\sup _{f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}} \geqslant \frac{\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|g\|_{p}}=\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|g_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{p}}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|f_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{p}} \geqslant \sup _{f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}$
which gives

$$
\frac{\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|g\|_{p}}=\sup _{f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}} .
$$

The proof is completed. The conclusion is that an extremal polynomial exists.

Let us denote by $\rho_{n}$ the subclass of $\mathscr{P}_{n}$ consisting of polynomials having only real zeros, i.e.

$$
\rho_{n}=\left\{f: f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}, f \text { has only real zeros }\right\}
$$

The next lemma indicates that while looking for extremal polynomials of (1) we only need to examine those polynomials of $\mathscr{P}_{n}$ whose all zeros are real.

Lemma 2. We have

$$
\sup _{f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}=\sup _{f \in \rho_{n}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}} .
$$

Proof. If $\operatorname{deg}(f) \leqslant 1$ the statement of the lemma is trivial. Let $\operatorname{deg}(f) \geqslant 2$ and let $f$ have at least one non-real zero. Without any restriction we may assume that $f$ is positive on $(-1,1)$. Since $f$ is real, $f$ has a pair of conjugate zeros, so $f(z)=g(z)(z-a-i b)(z-a+i b), b \in R, b \neq 0$.

Let $\xi \in[-1,1]$ be such that

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right| .
$$

Consider an auxiliary polynomial

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(z)=f(z)-\varepsilon g(z)(z-\xi)^{2}, \quad \varepsilon \text { sufficiently small and positive. }
$$

Note that $f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\xi)=f^{\prime}(\xi)$ and from here

$$
\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant\left|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\xi)\right|=\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right|=\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} .
$$

Now $f_{\varepsilon}(z)$ can be represented as follows

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(z)=g(z)\left((1-\varepsilon) z^{2}+2(\xi \varepsilon-a) z+a^{2}+b^{2}-\varepsilon \xi^{2}\right)
$$

and the quadratic

$$
(1-\varepsilon) z^{2}+2(\xi \varepsilon-a) z+a^{2}+b^{2}-\varepsilon \xi^{2}
$$

must have a pair of conjugate zeros for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small $(\varepsilon>0)$ because $b \neq 0$. So

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(z)=(1-\varepsilon) g(z)\left(z-z_{1, \varepsilon}\right)\left(z-\bar{z}_{1, \varepsilon}\right) .
$$

We have

$$
\left|z_{1, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}=z_{1, \varepsilon} \bar{z}_{1, \varepsilon}=\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}-\varepsilon \xi^{2}}{1-\varepsilon} \geqslant 1 .
$$

and $z_{1, \varepsilon}, \bar{z}_{1, \varepsilon}$ do not belong to the open unit disk. On the other hand $\max _{x \in[-1,1]}|f(x)|=f\left(x^{*}\right)>0$ and for $\varepsilon>0$ and sufficiently small $f_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{*}\right)>0$. Hence $f_{\varepsilon}(x)>0$ for $-1<x<1$. The conclusion is that $f_{\varepsilon}(z) \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$.

By assumption $f>0$ on $(-1,1)$ and from here $g>0$ on $(-1,1)$. It follows that

$$
0<f_{\varepsilon}(x)<f(x), \quad x \in(-1,1) \backslash\{\xi\}
$$

and

$$
\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}<\|f\|_{p} .
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}<\frac{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}}
$$

and this ends the proof of the lemma.
Remark 4. Note that if $b=0$ the above considerations do not work and we may have a pair of real zeros and at least one of them can be in the open unit disk.

If $\operatorname{deg}(f)=0$ then $\sup _{f \in \mathscr{P}_{0}}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} /\|f\|_{p}=0$ so the problem is trivial and each non-zero constant polynomial is a solution of our problem. If $\operatorname{deg}(f)=1$ then it is evident that the only extremal polynomials are $c(x+1)$ and $c(1-x), c \in \mathbf{R}, c \neq 0$.

In what follows we assume $n \geqslant 2$.
Lemma 3. If $f \in \rho_{n}$ and $f(-1) f(1) \neq 0$ then $f$ cannot be extremal.
Proof. Without any restriction let $f>0$ on $(-1,1), f \in \rho_{n}$ and let

$$
\min _{x \in[-1,1]} f(x)=\min [f(-1), f(1)]>0 .
$$

Consider the polynomial $f_{\varepsilon}(z):=f(z)-\varepsilon$, for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small $(\varepsilon>0)$.

Let $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}=\{z: z \in \mathbf{C},|z| \leqslant 1\}$ be the unit disk in the complex plane and $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be the zeros of $f$.

Since $\min _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left|x_{i}\right|>1$, then $\min _{\left\{i=1,2, \ldots, \text { and } z \in C_{1}\right\}}\left|x_{i}-z\right|>0$ and from this and from Hurwitz's theorem we have $f_{\varepsilon}(z) \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ for $\varepsilon>0$ and sufficiently small. Note that $f_{\varepsilon}(z)$ may have complex zeros. If $\varepsilon \leqslant \min [f(-1), f(1)]$ then

$$
0<f_{\varepsilon}(x)<f(x), \quad-1<x<1
$$

and

$$
f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(x)=f^{\prime}(x)
$$

It is easily checked that

$$
\frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}<\frac{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}}, \quad f_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{P}_{n}
$$

and this completes the proof.
Lemma 4. If $f(x) \in \rho_{n}$ and $f$ possesses at least two zeros in $R \backslash[-1,1]$ counting their multiplicities then $f(x)$ cannot be an extremal polynomial of (1).

Proof. Let $\xi$ be a point of $[-1,1]$ where $\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|$ attains the maximum value $\left(\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right|\right)$. First we observe that $f$ cannot have zeros in $(-\infty,-1)$ and $(1, \infty)$ at the same time. Suppose it does. Let $\lambda_{-}$be the smallest zero of $f$ and $\lambda_{+}$be the largest one. It is easily seen that for all small $\varepsilon>0$ the polynomial

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(z):=f(z)+\frac{\varepsilon f(z)}{\left(z-\lambda_{-}\right)\left(z-\lambda_{+}\right)}(z-\xi)^{2}
$$

belongs to $\rho_{n}$ and $0<f_{\varepsilon}(x)<f(x)$ for all $x \in(-1,1) \backslash\{\xi\}$. On the other hand

$$
\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant\left|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\xi)\right|=\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right|=\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

and we see that

$$
\frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}<\frac{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}}
$$

Assume that $f$ has no zeros in $(-\infty,-1)$. We claim that $f$ cannot have two or more zeros in $(1, \infty)$ counting their multiplicities. Suppose it does.

Let $\lambda_{1}$ be the largest zero of $f$ and $\lambda_{2}$ the largest but one. If $\lambda_{1}$ is double zero then $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}$.

It is geometrically evident that for all small $\varepsilon>0$, the polynomial

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(z):=f(z)-\frac{\varepsilon f(z)}{\left(z-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(z-\lambda_{2}\right)}(z-\xi)^{2}
$$

belongs to $\rho_{n}$ and $0<f_{\varepsilon}(x)<f(x)$ for all $x \in(-1,1) \backslash\{\xi\}$.
From here we clearly have

$$
\frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}<\frac{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}}
$$

The proof is completed.
We have proved that if $f$ is extremal for the problem (1) it must be of the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=c(1+t x)(1-x)^{j}(1+x)^{k}, \\
& \text { where } \quad|t| \leqslant 1, \quad k+j \leqslant n-1, \quad c \in \mathbf{R}, \quad c \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using an analogous variational construction one may show that $j+k=n-1$ if $f$ is extremal. The point $\xi$ is chosen by analogy such that

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\right| .
$$

The above considerations can be summarized in the following lemma.
Lemma 5. If $f$ is an extremal polynomial of the problem (1) then $f$ must be of the form

$$
f(x)=c(1+t x)(1-x)^{n-k-1}(1+x)^{k},
$$

where $-1 \leqslant t \leqslant 1,0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1, c \in \mathbf{R}, c \neq 0$.
Remark 5. Lemma 3 is a corollary of Lemma 5, note that $n \geqslant 2$. Lemma 5 shows that while searching for an extremal polynomials of the problem (1) we need only examine those from the class

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{n}:=\left\{f: f(x)=c(1+x)^{k}(1-x)^{n-k-1}(1+t x) ;\right. \\
0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1,-1 \leqslant t \leqslant 1, c>0\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that without any restriction we can suppose that $f(x)>0$ on $(-1,1)$.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{n, 1} & :=\left\{f: f \in e_{n} ; f(1)=0, f(-1)>0\right\} \\
e_{n,-1} & :=\left\{f: f \in e_{n} ; f(-1)=0, f(1)>0\right\} \\
e_{n,-1,1} & :=\left\{f: f \in e_{n} ; f(1)=f(-1)=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}=\max \left(\max _{f \in e_{n,-1}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}, \max _{f \in e_{n, 1}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}, \max _{f \in e_{n,-1,1}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above formula shows that we can divide our problem into 3 problems.
The first one,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{f \in e_{n,-1,1}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the problem which is given by Corollary A, (see [5, Corollary 2]). The extremal polynomials in this case have the form

$$
c(1-x)(1+x)^{n-1}, \quad \text { and } \quad c(1+x)(1-x)^{n-1}, \quad c>0 .
$$

It is obvious that if $f(x)$ is extremal for the problem

$$
\max _{f \in e_{n,-1}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}
$$

then $f(-x)$ is extremal for

$$
\max _{f \in e_{n, 1}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}} .
$$

and vice versa.
We make the conclusion that to find the extremals of problem (1) we need only study the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{f \in e_{n, 1}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class $e_{n, 1}$ consists of all polynomials of the form

$$
e_{n, 1}:=\left\{f: f(x)=c(1+t x)(1-x)^{n-1}, c>0,-1 \leqslant t<1\right\} .
$$

Lemma 6. If $t \in(-1,(n-2) / n]$ then the polynomial $f(x)=c(1+t x)$ $(1-x)^{n-1}, c>0$ cannot be extremal for the problem (1).

Proof. For clarity we divide the proof into four cases with respect to the parameter $t$.
(a) Let first $t \in(-1,0)$. By using the polynomial

$$
p_{-1}(x):=\frac{(1-x)^{n-1}}{2^{n}}[(n-1) x+n+1]
$$

$p_{-1}(-1)=1, p_{-1}^{\prime}(-1)=0$ and $p_{-1}(x) \geqslant 0$ for $-1 \leqslant x \leqslant 1$ we construct a variational polynomial

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(x):=f(x)-\varepsilon p_{-1}(x) .
$$

It is geometrically evident that $f_{\varepsilon}(x)$ must have one real zero greater than 1 and smaller than $-1 / t$ for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$.
So $f_{\varepsilon}(x) \in e_{n, 1}$ for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ and $0<f_{\varepsilon}(x)<f(x)$ for $-1 \leqslant x<1$.

On the other hand $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\left|f^{\prime}(-1)\right|$ and $\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant\left|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(-1)\right|=$ $\left|f^{\prime}(-1)\right|=\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$.

It follows that

$$
\frac{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}}>\frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}
$$

and from here the polynomial $f(x)=c(1+t x)(1-x)^{n-1}$ cannot be extremal for $t \in(-1,0)$.
(b) Let now $t=0$, so $f(x)=c(1-x)^{n-1}$. Consider again

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\varepsilon}(x):=c(1-x)^{n-1}-\varepsilon p_{-1}(x)=(-1)^{n} \frac{\varepsilon(n-1)}{2^{n}} x^{n}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{k} x^{k} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without any restriction let us assume $n$ odd. The case $n$ even can be treated by analogy. For a fixed $x_{0}>1$ we can choose $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small $(\varepsilon>0)$ such that $f_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$. From here and the representation (5) it follows $f_{\varepsilon}(x)$ has a real zero greater than 1 because $\lim _{x \mapsto+\infty} f_{\varepsilon}(x)=-\infty$. So $f_{\varepsilon} \in e_{n, 1}$ and $0<f_{\varepsilon}(x)<f(x),-1 \leqslant x<1$. We end this case by the same arguments as in the case (a).

The cases (a) and (b) complete the proof of Lemma 6 when $n=2$. Let $n \geqslant 3$.
(c) Let $n \geqslant 3$ and let $t \in(0,(n-2) /(n+2)]$. Consider $f(x)=c(1+t x)$ $(1-x)^{n-1}, c>0$.

The polynomial $f^{\prime}(x)$ has one local extremum at $x^{*}(t)=(2 t-n+2) / n t$. For $t \in(0,(n-2) /(n+2)], x^{*}(t) \leqslant-1$. From here

$$
\max _{x \in[-1,1]}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|=\left|f^{\prime}(-1)\right|
$$

and we can proceed as in the case (a).
It is geometrically evident that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\varepsilon}(x) & :=f(x)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n}}(1-x)^{n-1}[(n-1) x+n+1] \\
& =\left[c t-\frac{(n-1)}{2^{n}} \varepsilon\right](-1)^{n-1} x^{n}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{k} x^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

will have one real zero less than -1 if $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small $(\varepsilon>0)$ such that $f_{\varepsilon}(-1)>0$ and $\left(c t-\left((n-1) / 2^{n}\right) \varepsilon\right)>0$.

Using that $f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(-1)=f^{\prime}(-1)$ we claim that

$$
\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

The inequality $0<f_{\varepsilon}(x)<f(x),-1 \leqslant x<1$ gives that $\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}<\|f\|_{p}$ and

$$
\frac{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}}>\frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}} .
$$

The proof in the case (c) is completed.
(d) $t \in[(n-2) /(n+2),(n-2) / n]$. Consider $f(x)=c(1+t x)(1-x)^{n-1}$, $c>0$.

The local extremum of $f^{\prime}(x), x^{*}(t)=(2 t-n+2) / n t$ belongs to $[-1,1)$. In this case $f^{\prime}(x)$ is decreasing from -1 to $x^{*}(t)$ and increasing from $x^{*}(t)$ to $1 ; f^{\prime}(x) \leqslant 0,(-1 \leqslant x \leqslant 1)$, so we conclude

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\left|f^{\prime}\left(x^{*}(t)\right)\right| .
$$

The polynomial

$$
p_{x^{*}}(x):=\frac{(1-x)^{n-1}}{\left(1-x^{*}\right)^{n}}\left[(n-1) x+1-n x^{*}\right]
$$

satisfies $p_{x^{*}}\left(x^{*}\right)=1, p_{x^{*}}^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)=0$ and $p_{x^{*}}(x) \geqslant 0$ for $-1 \leqslant x \leqslant 1$.
By making use of $p_{x^{*}}(x)$ we form a variational polynomial

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(x)=f(x)-\varepsilon p_{x^{*}}(x) .
$$

The same arguments as in (c) show that

$$
\frac{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}}>\frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}
$$

and $f_{\varepsilon} \in e_{n, 1}$ for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small $(\varepsilon>0)$.
Remark 6. Note that the above arguments are inapplicable when $t=-1$. This leads us to consider $c(1-x)^{n}$ as a candidate for an extremal, so let us denote $f_{*}(x):=(1-x)^{n}$.

Now we consider an auxiliary extremal problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{f \in e_{n, 1}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}} & =\max \left(\sup _{t \in[(n-2) / n, 1)} \frac{\left\|\left[c(1+t x)(1-x)^{n-1}\right]^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|c(1+t x)(1-x)^{n-1}\right\|_{p}}, \frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{p}}\right) \\
& =\max \left(\max _{t \in[(n-2) / n, 1]} \frac{\left\|\left[(1+t x)(1-x)^{n-1}\right]^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|(1+t x)(1-x)^{n-1}\right\|_{p}}, \frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{p}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f_{t}(x):=(1+t x)(1-x)^{n-1}$ and $n \geqslant 3$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{t}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} & =\max \left(\left|f_{t}^{\prime}\left(x^{*}(t)\right)\right|, \mid f_{t}^{\prime}(-1)\right. \\
& =\max \left(\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)^{n-2} \frac{(1+t)^{n-1}}{t^{n-2}}, 2^{n-2}|(n-1) t-n+1+2 t|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and from here we conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{f \in e_{n, 1}} & \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}} \\
& =\max \left(\max _{t \in[(n-2) / n, 1]} \frac{\left|f_{t}^{\prime}(x *(t))\right|}{\left\|f_{t}\right\|_{p}}, \max _{t \in[(n-2) / n, 1]} \frac{\left|f_{t}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{t}\right\|_{p}}, \frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{p}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the method of the proof of Lemma 6 it easily follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{t \in[(n-2) / n, 1]} \frac{\left|f_{t}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{t}\right\|_{p}} & \leqslant \max \left[\frac{\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p}}, \frac{\left|f_{-1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{-1}\right\|_{p}}\right] \\
= & \max \left[\frac{\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p}}, \frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{p}}\right], \\
& 0 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

(A) Now we consider for $0<p<\infty$ the extremal problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{t \in[(n-2) / n, 1]} \frac{\left|f_{t}^{\prime}\left(x^{*}(t)\right)\right|}{\left\|f_{t}\right\|_{p}}= & 2^{1 / p}\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)^{n-2}\left[\max _{t \in[(n-2) / n, 1]} \frac{(1+t)^{(n-1) p}}{t^{(n-2) p}}\right. \\
& \left.\times \frac{1}{\int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{(n-1) p}(1+t x)^{p} d x}\right]^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equivalent to the auxiliary extremal problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{t \in[(n-2) / n, 1]} \frac{\int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{(n-1) p}(1+t x)^{p} d x}{(1+t)^{(n-1) p}} t^{(n-2) p} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above considerations we need the restriction $n \geqslant 3$. The case $n=2$ will be studied in the next remark.

Remark 7. The case $n=2$ of the extremal problem (1). Lemma 6 shows that we have to consider only the case when the second zero of our polynomial of degree 2 is less than -1 . In this case we have

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\left|f^{\prime}(1)\right|
$$

and

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(x)=f(x)-\varepsilon(x-1)^{2}
$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon(\varepsilon>0)$ shows that $f$ cannot be extremal for $t \in(0,1)$. So, we obtain that the only candidates for extremals in the case $n=2$ are $c(1-x)^{2}, c(1+x)^{2}, c(1-x)(1+x)$.

Now we continue with the auxiliary problem (6), $n \geqslant 3$.
Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{p}(t) & :=\frac{\int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{(n-1) p}(1+t x)^{p} d x t^{(n-2) p}}{(1+t)^{(n-1) p}} \\
D_{1}(t) & :=\int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{(n-1) p}(1+t x)^{p-1} x d x \\
D(t) & :=\int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{(n-1) p}(1+t x)^{p} d x \\
D_{0}(t) & :=\int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{(n-1) p}(1+t x)^{p-1} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Our problem is

$$
\min _{t \in[(n-2) / n, 1]} \Phi_{p}(t) .
$$

For the first derivative of $\Phi_{p}(t)$ we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{p} \frac{\Phi_{p}^{\prime}(t)}{\Phi_{p}(t)}=\frac{\int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{(n-1) p}(1+t x)^{p-1} x d x}{\int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{(n-1) p}(1+t x)^{p} d x}+\frac{n-2-t}{t(1+t)}
$$

and

$$
\Phi_{p}^{\prime}(t)=0 \quad \text { is equivalent to } \quad \frac{D_{1}(t)}{D(t)}=\frac{t-n+2}{t(1+t)} .
$$

The case $p=1$ is trivial. We have

$$
\frac{\Phi_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{\Phi_{1}(t)}=\frac{-t\left(n^{2}-n+2\right)+n^{2}-n-2}{t(1+t)(n+1-t(n-1))}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{t \in[(n-2) / n, 1]} \Phi_{1}(t) & =\min \left(\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right), \Phi_{1}(1)\right) \\
\Phi_{1}(1) & =\frac{4}{n(n+1)} ; \\
\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right) & =\frac{(n-2)^{n-2}}{(n-1)^{n-1}}(2 n-1) \frac{4}{n(n+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n=3$ and $n=4$ we have $\min \left(\Phi_{1}((n-2) / n), \Phi_{1}(1)\right)=\Phi_{1}(1)$.
On the other hand

$$
\frac{(n-2)^{n-2}}{(n-1)^{n-1}}(2 n-1)=\left(1-\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-2}\left(2+\frac{1}{n-1}\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{ } \frac{2}{e}<1 .
$$

So for $n$ sufficiently big we have

$$
\min \left(\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right), \Phi_{1}(1)\right)=\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right) .
$$

Let us now consider the case $p \in(0,+\infty), p \neq 1$. We have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{sgn}\left(\Phi_{p}^{\prime}(1)\right) & =\operatorname{sgn}\left[1-\frac{\Gamma[(n-1) p+1] \Gamma(p) 2^{(n-1) p+p} \Gamma(n p+2)}{\left[\begin{array}{r}
\Gamma[(n-1) p+p+1] 2^{n p+1} \\
\times \Gamma[(n-1) p+1] \Gamma(p+1)
\end{array}\right]}\right]
\end{array}\right]
$$

It follows that $\Phi_{p}^{\prime}(t)<0$ in $(1-\delta, 1)$ and $\Phi_{p}(t)$ is strictly decreasing in ( $1-\delta, 1$ ).

Now we will study

$$
\operatorname{sgn}\left\{\Phi_{p}^{\prime \prime}(t): t \in\left(\frac{n-2}{n}, 1\right), \Phi_{p}^{\prime}(t)=0\right\} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{p} \frac{\Phi_{p}^{\prime}(t)}{\Phi_{p}(t)}=\frac{D_{1}(t)}{D(t)}-\frac{t-n+2}{t(1+t)} \\
\frac{1}{p}\left[\frac{\Phi_{p}^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\Phi_{p}(t)}-\left(\frac{\Phi_{p}^{\prime}(t)}{\Phi_{p}(t)}\right)^{2}\right]=\frac{D_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{D(t)}-\frac{D_{1}(t) D^{\prime}(t)}{[D(t)]^{2}}+\frac{t^{2}-2(n-2) t-n+2}{t^{2}(1+t)^{2}} \\
D^{\prime}(t)=p \int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{(n-1) p}(1+t x)^{p-1} x d x=p D_{1}(t) \\
\frac{D_{0}(t)+t D_{1}(t)}{D(t)}=1, \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{D_{0}(t)}{D(t)}=\frac{n-1}{1+t} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{sgn}\left\{\Phi_{p}^{\prime \prime}(t): t \in\left(\frac{n-2}{n}, 1\right), \Phi_{p}^{\prime}(t)=0\right\} \\
& \quad=\operatorname{sgn}\left\{\frac{D_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{D(t)}-\frac{D_{1}(t) D^{\prime}(t)}{[D(t)]^{2}}+\frac{t^{2}-2(n-2) t-n+2}{t^{2}(1+t)^{2}}\right\} . \\
& D_{1}^{\prime}(t)=(p-1) \int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{(n-1) p}(1+t x)^{p-2} x^{2} d x . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The polynomial $x^{2}$ can be represented by using Lagrange interpolation at $-1,+1,-1 / t \neq-1,1$.

$$
x^{2}=\frac{1}{2(1-t)}(1-x)(1+t x)+\frac{1}{2(1+t)}(1+x)(1+t x)-\frac{1}{1-t^{2}}\left(1-x^{2}\right)
$$

Replacing $x^{2}$ with the right-hand side of the above formula in (7) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1}^{\prime}(t)= & \frac{p-1}{2(1-t)} \int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{(n-1) p}(1+t x)^{p-1}(1-x) d x \\
& +\frac{p-1}{2(1+t)} \int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{(n-1) p}(1+t x)^{p-1}(1+x) d x \\
& +\frac{p-1}{1-t^{2}} \int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{(n-1) p}(1+t x)^{p-2}\left(1-x^{2}\right) d x \\
= & \frac{p-1}{2(1-t)}\left[D_{0}(t)-D_{1}(t)\right]+\frac{p-1}{2(1+t)}\left[D_{0}(t)+D_{1}(t)\right]+R(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where after integrating by parts we get

$$
R(t)=\frac{1}{t\left(1-t^{2}\right)}\left[-(n-1) p D_{0}(t)-(2+(n-1) p) D_{1}(t)\right] .
$$

The above formulas give the representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{D_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{D(t)}= & \frac{(n-1)(p-1) t^{2}-(n-1)^{2} p t-(p-1) t^{3}}{t^{2}(1+t)^{2}(1-t)} \\
& +\frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}
(n-2)(p-1) t^{2}-(2+(n-1) p) t \\
+(n-2)(2+(n-1) p)
\end{array}\right]}{t^{2}(1+t)^{2}(1-t)} \\
\frac{D_{1}(t)}{D(t)}= & \frac{t-n+2}{t(1+t)}, \quad \frac{D_{0}(t)}{D(t)}=\frac{n-1}{1+t}, \quad \frac{D^{\prime}(t)}{D(t)}=p \frac{D_{1}(t)}{D(t)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{sgn}\{ & \left.\Phi_{p}^{\prime \prime}(t): t \in\left(\frac{n-2}{n}, 1\right), \Phi_{p}^{\prime}(t)=0\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{sgn}\left\{(p+1)(-t n+n-2): t \in\left(\frac{n-2}{n}, 1\right)\right\}<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above sign inequality shows that $\Phi_{p}(t)$ may have only one local extremum in $[(n-2) / n, 1]$ and it must be a local maximum.

We make an important for the solution of The problem (1) conclusion that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{t \in[(n-2) / n, 1]} \Phi_{p}(t)=\min \left[\Phi_{p}\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right), \Phi_{p}(1)\right] . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we sum up on the basis of the Eq. (8), that was obtained by studying the extremal problem (6) we claim that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup _{f \in e_{n, 1}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}=\max \left(\frac{\left|f_{(n-2) / n}^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)\right)\right|}{\left\|f_{(n-2) / n}\right\|_{p}}, \frac{\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p}}, \frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{p}}\right), \\
0<p<\infty . \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

observing that $\left.\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|>\left|f_{1}^{\prime}\left(x^{*}(1)\right)\right|=\mid f_{1}^{\prime}(4-n) / n\right) \mid$.
(B) The case $p=0$. In this case our extremal problem (6) looks as follows

$$
\min _{t \in[0,1]} \Phi_{0}(t)=\min _{t \in[0,1]} \frac{t^{n-2}}{(1+t)^{n-1}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \ln \left[(1-x)^{n-1}(1+t x)\right] d x\right)
$$

and

$$
t^{2} \frac{\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(t)}{\Phi_{0}(t)}=\frac{(n-1) t}{1+t}-\frac{1}{2} \ln (1+t)+\frac{1}{2} \ln (1-t), \quad t \in[0,1] .
$$

Hence, there is $x_{0} \in((n-2) / n, 1)$ such that

$$
\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(t) \begin{cases}<0, & t \in\left(x_{0}, 1\right) \\ >0, & t \in\left[\frac{n-2}{n}, x_{0}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

From here

$$
\min _{t \in[(n-2) / n, 1]} \Phi_{0}(t)=\min \left[\Phi_{0}\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right), \Phi_{0}(1)\right]
$$

and this completes our consideration in the case $p=0$.
Taking into account that $f_{1}(x)=(1+x)(1-x)^{n-1}$ is a solution of the extremal problem (3) and on the basis of (9) we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}=\max \left(\frac{\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p}}, \frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{p}}\right), \quad 0 \leqslant p<\infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

observing that $f_{(n-2) / n}(x)$ cannot be extremal (see Lemma 6) for the extremal problem (1).

In the next lemma we will compare the values of

$$
\frac{\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{p}}=\frac{\left|f_{-1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{-1}\right\|_{p}}
$$

when $p$ varies from 0 to $\infty$.
Lemma 7. The following inequalities hold
(a) $\frac{\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p}}<\frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{p}}, \quad 0 \leqslant p<1$;
(b) $\frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{p}}<\frac{\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p}}, \quad p>1$;
(c) $\frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{1}}=\frac{\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{1}}, \quad p=1$.

Proof. If $p=0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{0}} & =\frac{2^{n-1}}{\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} n \ln (1-x) d x\right)} \\
& <\frac{n 2^{n-1}}{\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} n \ln (1-x) d x\right)}=\frac{n}{2} e^{n}=\frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{0}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $0<p<\infty$, then

$$
\frac{\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Gamma(p n+2)}{\Gamma(p n-p+1) \Gamma(p+1)}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{p}}=\frac{1}{2} n(n p+1)^{1 / p} .
$$

In the case $n=1$ the statement of the lemma is trivial.
Let $n \geqslant 2$. first we show that for the derivative of

$$
\phi_{p}(v)=\frac{\Gamma(v p+1)}{v^{p} \Gamma[(v-1) p+1]} ; \quad v \in R, \quad v \geqslant 2, \quad 0<p<\infty
$$

we have the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p} \frac{\phi_{p}^{\prime}(v)}{\phi_{p}(v)} & =\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{(v-1) p+1+s}-\frac{1}{v p+1+s}\right)-\frac{1}{v} \\
& =\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{(v-1) p+1+s}-\frac{1}{v p+1+s}\right)-\frac{1}{v p}\right)+\frac{1}{v p}-\frac{p}{v p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the finite sum

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s=0}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{(v-1) p+1+s}-\frac{1}{v p+1+s}\right)-\frac{1}{v p} \\
& \quad=\sum_{s=0}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{v p+1-p+s}-\frac{1}{v p+s}\right)-\frac{1}{v p+N+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the limit when $N \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that the both series have the same sum. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p} \frac{\phi_{p}^{\prime}(v)}{\phi_{p}(v)} & =\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{v p+1-p+s}-\frac{1}{v p+s}\right)+\frac{1-p}{v p} \\
& =\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}-\frac{1-p}{(v p+1-p+s)(v p+s)}+\frac{1-p}{v p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Let $0<p<1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1-p}{(v p+1-p+s)(v p+s)} & <-\frac{1-p}{(v p+1+s)(v p+s)} \\
& =(p-1)\left(\frac{1}{v p+s}-\frac{1}{v p+1+s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above formula gives that

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{p-1}{(v p+1-p+s)(v p+s)}<(p-1) \sum_{s=o}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{v p+s}-\frac{1}{v p+1+s}\right)=\frac{p-1}{v p} .
$$

## Hence

$$
\frac{1 \phi_{p}^{\prime}(v)}{p \phi_{p}(v)}<-\frac{1-p}{v p}+\frac{1-p}{v p}=0
$$

and

$$
\phi_{p}(v)<\phi_{p}(1)=\Gamma(p+1) .
$$

(b) Let $p>1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1-p}{(v p+1-p+s)(v p+s)} & >-\frac{1-p}{(v p+1+s)(v p+s)} \\
& =(p-1)\left(\frac{1}{v p+s}-\frac{1}{v p+1+s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and from here

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{p-1}{(v p+1-p+s)(v p+s)}>(p-1) \sum_{s=o}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{v p+s}-\frac{1}{v p+1+s}\right)=\frac{p-1}{v p} .
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{1}{p} \frac{\phi_{p}^{\prime}(v)}{\phi_{p}(v)}>-\frac{1-p}{v p}+\frac{1-p}{v p}=0
$$

and

$$
\phi_{p}(v)>\phi_{p}(1)=\Gamma(p+1) .
$$

The following representation ends the proof of the lemma

$$
\left(\frac{\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p}}\right)\left(\frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{p}}\right)^{-1}=\left(\frac{\phi_{p}(n)}{\Gamma(p+1)}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Note, that

$$
\frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{0}}=\frac{n}{2} e^{n} ; \quad \frac{\left\|f_{*}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{p}}=\frac{\left|f_{*}^{\prime}(-1)\right|}{\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{p}}=\frac{1}{2} n(n p+1)^{1 / p} .
$$

On the basis of (10) and Lemma 7 we obtain the solution of the problem (1) that is contained in the next theorem.

## 3. THE SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM (1)

Summing up we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If $f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$, then


In the case $0 \leqslant p<1$ the only extremal polynomials are $c(1-x)^{n}$ and $c(1+x)^{n}, c \in \mathbf{R}, c \neq 0$.

In the case $p=1$ the only extremal polynomials are $c(1-x)^{n}, c(1+x)^{n}$, $c(1-x)(1+x)^{n-1}$, and $c(1+x)(1-x)^{n-1}, c \in \mathbf{R}, c \neq 0$.

In the case $p>1$ the only extremal polynomials are $c(1-x)(1+x)^{n-1}$ and $c(1+x)(1-x)^{n-1}, c \in \mathbf{R}, c \neq 0$.

By using Stirling's formula, Theorem 1 gives the exact asymptotic of $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} /\|f\|_{p}, f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$.

Corollary 1. If $f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$, then

$$
\sup _{f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}} \frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{p}}=\mathbf{O}\left(n^{1+1 / p}\right), \quad n \mapsto \infty, \quad 0<p<\infty
$$

(one may compare with Theorem A).
Remark 8. If $f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ and $f>0$ on $(-1,1)$, then $f \in \pi_{n}$. In other words

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k}(1+x)^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}, \quad A_{k} \geqslant 0, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fact is proved in [12] but it is really contained in an earlier result of Meissner [9]. On the basis of (11) and by using the Fundamental theorem of Linear Programming the exact value $n(n+1) / 2$ of $\sup \left\{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} /\|f\|_{1}\right.$, $\left.f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}\right\}$ is given in [11]. Note, that taking a limit in Theorem 1, when $p \mapsto \infty$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup \left\{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} /\|f\|_{\infty}, f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}\right\} & =\left\|f_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} /\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& =\left[(1 / 2)\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-n+1}\right] n \\
& <n \lim _{n \mapsto \infty}\left[(1 / 2)\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-n+1}\right]=\frac{e}{2} n .
\end{aligned}
$$
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